
FDARA Implementation Guidance for Pediatric Studies of Molecularly Targeted Oncology Drugs: Amendments to Sec. 505B of the FD&C Act Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (OCE/CDER) Gregory Reaman at 301-796-0785 or Gregory.Reaman@fda.hhs.gov, or (CBER) Office of Communication, Outreach and Development at 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Oncology Center of Excellence (OCE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**December 2019
Procedural**

FDARA Implementation Guidance for Pediatric Studies of Molecularly Targeted Oncology Drugs: Amendments to Sec. 505B of the FD&C Act Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research*

Food and Drug Administration

10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor

Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353

Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov

<https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs>

and/or

Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development

Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research

Food and Drug Administration

10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, rm. 3128

Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010

Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov

<https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information-biologics/biologics-guidances>

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Oncology Center of Excellence (OCE)
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

December 2019

Procedural

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	4
A.	Molecular Target	4
B.	Factors Considered in the Determination of Relevance	4
C.	Target Lists	5
1.	<i>The Relevant Molecular Target List</i>	<i>5</i>
2.	<i>The Non-Relevant Molecular Target Leading to Waiver List</i>	<i>6</i>
D.	Content of the Initial Pediatric Study Plan (iPSP) and Description of Recommended Studies	6
1.	<i>iPSP content</i>	<i>7</i>
2.	<i>Description of recommended studies to be included</i>	<i>7</i>
3.	<i>Early advice on pediatric development meetings for oncology projects subject to the amended provisions of section 505B of the FD&C Act</i>	<i>8</i>
E.	Additional Consideration for Rare Cancers	9
1.	<i>Pediatric cohorts in existing adult trials</i>	<i>9</i>
2.	<i>Embedded pediatric trials</i>	<i>9</i>
3.	<i>Adolescent patients</i>	<i>9</i>
4.	<i>Tissue/histology agnostic development</i>	<i>10</i>
5.	<i>Master protocols</i>	<i>10</i>
F.	Considerations for Planned Waivers and Deferrals	10
1.	<i>Deferrals</i>	<i>10</i>
2.	<i>Waivers</i>	<i>11</i>
IV.	GLOBAL IMPLICATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION	11
A.	Pediatric Cluster Teleconferences	11
B.	Common Commentary Process	12
C.	Formal Parallel Scientific Advice (PSA)	12

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

FDARA Implementation Guidance for Pediatric Studies of Molecularly Targeted Oncology Drugs: Amendments to Sec. 505B of the FD&C Act Guidance for Industry¹

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this guidance is to provide the pharmaceutical industry, clinical investigators, and institutional review boards (IRBs) with information to facilitate pediatric studies of molecularly targeted (also referred to as “targeted” in this guidance) oncology drugs.² This guidance addresses early planning for pediatric evaluation of certain molecularly targeted oncology drugs for which original New Drug Applications (NDAs) and Biologics License Applications (BLAs) are expected to be submitted to the FDA on or after August 18, 2020 in accordance with section 505B of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (also referred to as the Pediatric Research Equity Act, or PREA) as amended by the FDA Reauthorization Act of 2017 (FDARA).³

This guidance addresses the implementation of amendments made by FDARA section 504 to section 505B of the FD&C Act regarding molecularly targeted oncology drugs. This guidance does not contain a complete discussion of general requirements for development of drugs for pediatric use under PREA or section 505A of the FD&C Act (also referred to as the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act or BPCA).

In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Oncology Center of Excellence in cooperation with the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

² For purposes of this guidance, references to drugs and drug products include drugs approved under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 355) and biological products licensed under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262).

³ Public Law 115-52, 131 Stat. 1005 (August 18, 2017).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

39 **II. BACKGROUND**

40
41 Section 504 of FDARA amended section 505B of the FD&C Act to require—for original
42 applications submitted on or after August 18, 2020—pediatric investigations of certain targeted
43 cancer drugs with new active ingredients, based on molecular mechanism of action rather than
44 clinical indication. Specifically, if an original NDA or BLA is for a new active ingredient, and
45 the drug that is the subject of the application is intended for treatment of an adult cancer and
46 directed at a molecular target FDA determines to be substantially relevant to the growth or
47 progression of a pediatric cancer, reports on the molecularly targeted pediatric cancer
48 investigation required under section 505B(a)(3) of the FD&C Act must be submitted with the
49 marketing application, unless the required investigations are waived or deferred.⁴ FDARA thus
50 created a mechanism to require evaluation of certain novel drugs that may have the potential to
51 address an unmet medical need in the pediatric population (i.e., children ages 0-2 years, 2-11
52 years and adolescents ages 12-<17 years).⁵ Timely investigation in pediatric patients of the
53 antitumor activity of potentially effective targeted drugs under development in adults, and of
54 those drugs' toxicities relative to the unique growth and developmental considerations of
55 pediatric patients, is intended to accelerate early pediatric evaluation of these products and
56 ultimately facilitate development of appropriate new therapies for pediatric patients.
57

58 Advances in the understanding of the molecular etiology and genetic epidemiology of human
59 cancer have transformed the paradigm of cancer drug development; molecularly targeted drugs
60 have advanced the concept of precision medicine in oncology. However, the extension of this
61 scientific development to pediatric cancers has been both delayed and limited due in part to the
62 fact that the requirements for pediatric assessments of new cancer drugs have historically been
63 based on indication (i.e., requirements for assessment of the safety and effectiveness of a drug
64 for intended or approved indications in relevant pediatric subpopulations). Often, the types of
65 cancers in pediatric patients and adults differ in etiology, biology, organ of origin, and natural
66 history, which could result in pediatric trials not being required under the pre-FDARA iteration
67 of PREA (e.g., if the requirements were waived because the drug in question was being
68 developed for a cancer that rarely or never occurs in children, thereby making the necessary
69 studies impossible or highly impracticable). In addition, new drugs developed for rare cancers
70 which do occur in both adults and pediatric patients are generally exempt from PREA
71 assessment requirements under section 505B(a)(1)(A) because they are for indications for
72 which orphan designation has been granted (see section 505B(k)(1) of the FD&C Act).
73

74 However, malignancies occurring in children and adolescents can harbor the same molecular
75 abnormalities as those found in adult cancers, and therefore, many new targeted oncology drugs
76 may prove effective in the treatment of pediatric patients with cancer, even if the adult cancer
77 indication does not occur in the pediatric population. Large scale pediatric cancer genome
78 sequencing efforts, such as the National Cancer Institute's Therapeutically Applicable Research

⁴ Sections 505B(a)(1)(B) and 505B(a)(3)(C) of the FD&C Act.

⁵ The amendments to 505B of the FD&C Act made by FDARA section 504 are sometimes referred to as The Research Acceleration for Cure and Equity (RACE) for Children Act.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

79 to Generate Effect Treatments (TARGET) program⁶, the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project⁷,
80 and the International Cancer Genome Consortium’s PedBrain Tumor⁸ and ICGC-MMML-seq⁹
81 projects provide evidence that the genetic and epigenetic repertoires of driver gene aberrations
82 may differ between adult and pediatric cancers. A growing body of evidence suggests that
83 genetic and other molecular biological vulnerabilities of certain adult cancers also exist in
84 pediatric cancers.^{10,11} Up to 50% of pediatric cancers have been reported to harbor a potentially
85 druggable event, i.e. a molecular abnormality which can be potentially addressed by a targeted
86 drug already approved for use in adults.¹²

87
88 Section 505B of the FD&C Act, as amended by FDARA, requires that any **original** NDA or
89 BLA submitted on or after August 18, 2020, for a new active ingredient, must contain reports of
90 molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigations described in section 505B(a)(3) of the
91 FD&C Act, unless a deferral or waiver of that requirement is granted, if the drug that is the
92 subject of the application is:

- 93 (1) intended for the treatment of an adult cancer, and
94 (2) directed at a molecular target that the Secretary determines to be substantially relevant
95 to the growth or progression of a pediatric cancer.¹³

96
97 This requirement for pediatric investigations applies even if the adult cancer indication does not
98 occur in the pediatric population, and, per section 505B(k)(2) of the FD&C Act, even if the drug
99 is for an adult indication for which orphan designation has been granted.

100
101 The statute directs FDA, in consultation with the National Cancer Institute (NCI), members of
102 the internal committee established under section 505C of the FD&C Act, and the Pediatric
103 Oncology Subcommittee of the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee, to establish, publish,
104 and regularly update a list of molecular targets considered, on the basis of data the Agency
105 determines to be adequate, to be substantially relevant to the growth or progression of a
106 pediatric cancer, and that may trigger the requirements for pediatric investigations under PREA
107 (see sections 505B(m)(1)(A) and 505B(m)(2) of the FD&C Act). Molecular targets that are
108 considered “not substantially relevant” to the growth or progression of pediatric cancers and
109 that would warrant a waiver of pediatric study requirements under PREA constitute a second
110 list (see section 505B(m)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act) (see section III.C for more information

⁶ For additional information, see <https://ocg.cancer.gov/programs/target> (accessed October 8, 2019).

⁷ For additional information, see <https://www.stjude.org/research/pediatric-cancer-genome-project.html> (accessed October 8, 2019).

⁸ For additional information, see <http://www.pedbraintumor.org/icgc/index.php/ct-menu-item-7> (accessed October 8, 2019).

⁹ For additional information, see <https://icgc.org/icgc/cgp/64/345/53049> (accessed October 8, 2019).

¹⁰ Gröbner SN, Worst BC, Weischenfeldt J, et.al., 2018, The Landscape of Genomic Alterations Across Childhood Cancers, *Nature*, 555:321-327.

¹¹ Ma X, Liu Y, Liu Y, et. al., 2018, Pan-Cancer Genome and Transcriptome Analyses of 1,699 Paediatric Leukaemias and Solid Tumours, *Nature*, 555:371-376.

¹² See footnotes 10 and 11.

¹³ Section 505B(a)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

111 regarding the lists). FDA sometimes refers to these as “The Relevant Molecular Target List”
112 and “The Non-Relevant Molecular Target Leading to Waiver List,” respectively. The statute
113 neither stipulates that a molecular target to which a specific drug is directed must appear on
114 The Relevant Molecular Target List to require a clinical evaluation of the drug in the pediatric
115 population nor specifies that the presence of a target on the relevant target list in itself
116 constitutes a requirement for a clinical study. The lists are a guide to sponsors as they consider
117 development plans for new targeted drugs and early pediatric assessments in light of the
118 amended PREA provisions.

119
120

121 III. REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS¹⁴

122
123

A. Molecular Target

124
125

126 For purposes of section 505B of the FD&C Act, the Agency interprets a “molecular target” in
127 cancer drug development as a molecule in human cells (normal or cancer cells) that is
128 intrinsically associated with a particular malignant disease process such as etiology, progression,
129 and/or drug resistance. For a molecule to be considered a molecular target for purposes of
130 section 505B, there should be evidence that addressing the molecule with a drug produces a
131 predictable therapeutic effect resulting in alteration of the disease process.

132
133

B. Factors Considered in the Determination of Relevance

134
135

136 FDA intends to consider the totality of evidence when determining whether a molecular target is
137 substantially relevant to the growth or progression of pediatric cancer. A specific or minimum
138 evidence standard for determining target relevance is not feasible because of the different classes
139 and characteristics of molecular targets, variability in available evidence base among targets, and
140 continued emerging science. FDA is responsible for determining whether a molecular target is
141 substantially relevant for purposes of section 505B of the FD&C Act. Molecular targets that lack
142 sufficient evidence for FDA to determine whether they are “substantially relevant” or “not
143 substantially relevant” will not be included in a target list, however, the lists will be updated
144 regularly to reflect additional determinations regarding the relevance of molecular targets.

145
146

147 One or more of the following may, as appropriate, inform FDA’s determination that a molecular
148 target is substantially relevant for purposes of section 505B:

- 149 • The target has been identified in a cancer which occurs in pediatric patients. For
150 targets within a cancer cell lineage, the target is intrinsically or differentially
expressed in the cancer of interest compared to normal site-specific tissues.
- The biological function of the target is relevant to the etiology, growth, and survival
of a cancer that occurs in pediatric patients. For a gene abnormality, modulation of

¹⁴ While this guidance focuses on requirements under PREA, FDA also intends to take into account certain of the considerations described in this section of the guidance (e.g., considerations relating to whether a molecular target is substantially relevant to the growth or progression of a pediatric cancer and those relating to innovative study designs for rare cancers), as appropriate, to streamline and improve the Written Request process under section 505A of the FD&C Act, including amendments to Written Requests.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 151 the affected gene product or a critical downstream pathway or correction/deletion of
152 the affected gene defect adversely affects cancer cells.
- 153 • Non-clinical in vitro or in vivo evidence supports relevance of the target in one or
154 more cancers in pediatric patients.
 - 155 ○ In vitro activity: Target modulation shows in vitro selectivity for cancer cell lines
156 containing/expressing the molecular target compared to the sensitivity of cell lines
157 not containing/expressing the target
 - 158 ○ In vivo activity: Target modulation shows in vivo activity manifested as tumor
159 stabilization or regression in models of pediatric cancers with the molecular target
160 of interest or relevant adult cancer models
 - 161 ○ In vitro or in vivo activity of drugs in combination: When single agents do not
162 result in target modulation, support for substantial relevance may be found in
163 evidence for additive or synergistic activity when an agent which effects target
164 modulation is used as part of a biologically rational combination in appropriate
165 model systems.
 - 166 • Clinical activity in adults with specific cancers, for which direct evidence
167 demonstrates that target modulation by investigational drugs is known to affect tumor
168 growth
 - 169 • Biomarkers expressed by tumor cells of cancers that occur in pediatric patients and
170 that may predict response to target modulation may contribute to the concept of
171 substantial relevance and also be useful in selection of the appropriate pediatric study
172 population.

173
174 Data from a non-clinical evaluation of a drug that interferes with a known molecular target in a
175 pediatric-specific model system can contribute evidence for determining the target's relevance to
176 a cancer which occurs in pediatric patients. Therefore, every effort should be made to initiate
177 pediatric non-clinical investigations early in the development timeline.

178
179 FDA may determine available evidence demonstrates that a molecular target is not substantially
180 relevant to the growth or progression of pediatric cancer based on, for example, the absence of a
181 biologic rationale for a specific target's function as an oncogenic driver, or a lineage associated
182 target that is not a component of a pediatric cancer cell, or pre-clinical data that demonstrates no
183 tumor cell growth effect by inhibition of the target.

184
185 The lists may be updated based on information from, for example, semi-annual public workshops
186 or meetings, including meetings of the Pediatric Subcommittee of the Oncologic Drugs Advisory
187 Committee. In addition, the Federal Register Notice [Docket No. FDA-2018-N-3633] published
188 on October 17, 2018 announced the opening of a docket to allow public comment with respect to
189 possible additions to or deletions from the existing lists.

C. Target Lists

1. The Relevant Molecular Target List

190
191
192
193
194
195 The list includes molecular targets for which adequate data exist to determine their substantial
196 relevance to the growth or progression of one or more pediatric cancers. Categories include, for

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

197 example:

198

199

- Targets Related to Specific Gene Abnormalities

200

- Targets Associated with Cell Lineage Determinants

201

- Targets on Normal Immune Cells and Cellular Components of the Tumor

202

Microenvironment

203

- Other Targets Associated with Specific Pathways or Functional Mechanisms of

204

Normal and/or Malignant Cells.

205

206

2. The Non-Relevant Molecular Target Leading to Waiver List

207

208 The list includes molecular targets of new cancer drugs in development for which pediatric

209 cancer study requirements under PREA will be automatically waived. This includes targets for

210 which there is adequate data to determine that the targets are not substantially relevant to the

211 growth or progression of one or more pediatric cancers. FDA anticipates that it will agree with

212 sponsors' plans (as outlined in their iPSPs) to request full waivers for pediatric evaluation of

213 oncology drugs with a molecular target that is on the Non-Relevant Molecular Target Leading to

214 Waiver List.

215

216 These lists are available at the following link: [Molecular Target Lists](#).

217

D. Content of the Initial Pediatric Study Plan (iPSP) and Description of

218

Recommended Studies

219

220

221 Section 505B(e) of the FD&C Act requires applicants subject to PREA to submit an initial

222 pediatric study plan prior to the submission of an NDA or BLA.¹⁵ Prior to the enactment of

223 FDARA, which added section 505B(a)(1)(B) and 505B(a)(3) to the FD&C Act, the pediatric

224 study plans for oncology drugs were generally proposals to request waivers for pediatric

225 assessments because the adult cancer indications for which a drug was developed often did not

226 occur or occurred only rarely in pediatric patients, making pediatric studies impossible or

227 highly impracticable.¹⁶ An extensive list of cancer diagnoses occurring almost exclusively in

228 adults thus is included in a list of adult-related conditions that qualify for a waiver because they

229 rarely or never occur in pediatrics.¹⁷ The provisions for PREA mandated studies for oncology

230 drugs under section 505B(a)(1)(B), however, require that certain oncology drugs for adult

231 cancer indications be studied based not on clinical indication, but rather on the molecular

232 mechanism of action of the investigational drug. Therefore, original applications for a new

233 active ingredient that are submitted on or after August 18, 2020, and for which the drug that is

234 the subject of the application is intended for the treatment of an adult cancer and is directed at a

235 molecular target determined to be substantially relevant to the growth or progression of a

¹⁵ See sections 505B(a)(1)(A), 505B(a)(1)(B), and 505B(e)(1) of the FD&C Act.

¹⁶ See section 505B(a)(5) of the FD&C Act.

¹⁷ See the list of "Adult-Related Conditions that qualify for a waiver because they rarely or never occur in pediatrics" at <https://www.fda.gov/media/101440/download>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

236 pediatric cancer must include reports of molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigations
237 (which were described in an iPSP under section 505B(e) of the FD&C Act), unless a deferral or
238 waiver is granted.¹⁸ Sponsors are advised of the opportunity to seek early interaction with
239 FDA to address their pediatric development. Questions can be addressed to the Pediatric
240 Oncology Program in the FDA’s Oncology Center of Excellence.

241

242 *1. iPSP content*

243

244 Details of the required iPSP contents and format can be found in section 505B(e)(2)(B) of the
245 FD&C Act. Additionally, FDA has issued a draft guidance for industry, *Pediatric Study Plans:
246 Content of and Process for Submitting Initial Pediatric Study Plans and Amended Initial
247 Pediatric Study Plans* (the Draft iPSP Guidance). Once finalized, the Draft iPSP guidance will
248 describe, among other things, FDA’s recommendations regarding iPSP content. An iPSP for a
249 molecularly targeted oncology drug should include the following elements:

250

- 251 • Description of the cancer(s) in the pediatric population for which the drug warrants
252 early evaluation
- 253 • Overview of the drug product
- 254 • Overview of planned extrapolation of effectiveness to the pediatric population
- 255 • Planned request for drug-specific waivers and partial waivers with justification
- 256 • Planned request for deferrals of pediatric studies
- 257 • Tabular summary of proposed non-clinical and clinical studies
- 258 • Age-appropriate formulation including details of existing/planned excipients
- 259 • Non-clinical proof-of-concept studies; planned and completed
- 260 • Data to support clinical studies in pediatric patients
- 261 • Planned pediatric clinical study(ies)
- 262 • Timeline of pediatric development plan
- 263 • Agreements for pediatric studies with other regulatory agencies

264

265 *2. Description of recommended studies to be included*

266

267 Studies to be described in the iPSP under section 505B(e) of the FD&C Act should evaluate
268 dosing whether based on PK or PK-based modeling, safety, and preliminary efficacy. Trials
269 should typically be non-hypothesis testing, single-arm studies using standard response
270 assessments such as overall response rate and duration of response at a minimum.

271

272 Objectives of the studies described in the iPSP under section 505B(e) of the FD&C Act should
273 include the following:

274

- 275 • Evaluating tolerability and identifying dose limiting toxicities in pediatric patients.
- 276 • Evaluation of PK across various age groups as appropriate.
- 277 • Definition of the pediatric Recommended Phase 2 Dose(s) (RP2D).

¹⁸ See section 505B(a)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 278 • Assessment of activity (defined as overall response rate (ORR)) across the entire
279 study population, in biomarker enriched population(s), in pre-specified disease
280 cohorts, or in adaptive design settings, successively opened disease cohorts as
281 evidence of activity warrants.

282
283 Sample size may vary but should support the study objectives. Factors to consider should
284 include the frequency of the molecular target expected across pediatric cancers in general and/or
285 within a specified histology or sub-type, the number of dose levels to be evaluated to identify a
286 recommended pediatric dose, and statistical considerations including estimated response rate that
287 would support further development.

288
289 More definite evaluation of a product, if warranted based upon the initial pediatric evaluation
290 described in the iPSP, may be the subject of a Proposed Pediatric Study request (PPSR).
291 Following review of the PPSR and discussions with the sponsor, FDA may issue a Written
292 Request, if appropriate.¹⁹

293
294 Early in the development of the iPSP, sponsors are encouraged to collaborate and seek advice
295 from recognized subject matter experts, including those involved in clinical trial networks and
296 academic investigators, to develop an appropriate non-clinical rationale for the iPSP and to
297 facilitate scientifically rigorous study designs in clinically relevant diagnoses or subgroups of
298 patients with the same diagnosis, or groups defined by biomarker detection of the target of
299 interest irrespective of specific diagnosis. If evaluation of the investigational drug is expected
300 to be performed in a biomarker-enriched or restricted population, early discussion with FDA’s
301 Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) is encouraged regarding Investigational
302 Device Exemptions and the use of companion or complementary diagnostics.

303
304 3. *Early advice on pediatric development meetings for oncology projects subject to*
305 *the amended provisions of section 505B of the FD&C Act*
306

307 Sponsors planning to submit applications on or after August 18, 2020, or sponsors who are
308 uncertain of their submission date, may request a meeting²⁰ with the Oncology Center of
309 Excellence Pediatric Oncology Program and members of the Oncology Subcommittee of the
310 Pediatric Review Committee (PeRC) through the appropriate review division or office, to assist
311 with development of the iPSP. These meetings are intended to provide an opportunity to discuss
312 the Agency’s current thinking about the relevance of a specific target and the expectations for
313 early assessment in the pediatric population unless justification for a waiver or deferral can be
314 provided. The cover letter for these meeting requests should clearly state “REQUEST FOR
315 FDARA iPSP MEETING.” Please contact the review division or office for any questions
316 regarding these meetings.²¹

¹⁹ For additional information regarding Written Requests, see section 505A of the FD&C Act; 21 U.S.C. 355a.

²⁰ See section 505B(e)(2)(C)(i)(I) of the FD&C Act, added by section 503 of FDARA, which describes early meetings on pediatric study plans for drugs intended to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition.

²¹ Sponsors should consult FDA’s guidance for industry *Formal Meetings Between the FDA and Sponsors or Applicants of PDUFA Products*, when finalized, to help ensure open lines of dialogue before and during their drug development process. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353

E. Additional Consideration for Rare Cancers

Given the challenges of stand-alone trials of investigational drugs in pediatric patients with a rare cancer associated with a specific molecular target, FDA encourages innovation in study design and encourages sponsors to request feedback from FDA about any planned clinical trials for such investigational drugs. In situations where a conventionally designed pediatric trial may be inefficient and extremely difficult to conduct given the scarcity of affected pediatric patients, the following scenarios may provide options to maintain the objective of early pediatric assessment of drugs directed at substantially relevant targets and satisfy requirements of section 505B of the FD&C Act:

1. Pediatric cohorts in existing adult trials

When a target being investigated in an adult clinical trial also occurs in a specific pediatric tumor(s), sponsors may consider including a pediatric cohort during the expansion phase of a clinical trial. Including a pediatric cohort in an existing adult trial allows for the inclusion of a specific pediatric population earlier in development of a targeted drug without having to open an entirely new pediatric trial. This allows sponsors to use already existing clinical sites and resources of the ongoing clinical trial, thus minimizing the resources and infrastructure required to study the targeted drug in the pediatric population.^{22, 23}

2. Embedded pediatric trials

Embedding pediatric trials within an existing trial in adults may be particularly useful for the evaluation of drugs with a molecular target that is rare in the pediatric population. Embedding a pediatric trial within an adult trial could leverage resources of pre-existing global studies at multiple sites, improving enrollment. The embedded study could also take advantage of existing infrastructure arrangements (e.g., adding a sub-investigator rather than initiating a new study, having consistent personnel) within study sites for adult patients.

3. Adolescent patients

When the molecular target of the drug is relevant to cancers in both adult and adolescent patients, sponsors may consider including adolescent patients by lowering the age requirement for enrollment. Systemic exposure and clearance of drugs are generally similar in adolescent and adult patients after taking into account the effect of body size on pharmacokinetics. Inclusion of adolescents in adult trials would allow those patients access to investigational drugs with

²² See draft guidance for industry *Expansion Cohorts: Use in First-In-Human Clinical Trials to Expedite Development of Oncology Drugs and Biologics* (August 2018). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

²³ See draft guidance for industry *Cancer Clinical Trial Eligibility Criteria: Minimum Age for Pediatric Patients* (March 2019). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

354 potential for benefit and generate clinical trial data in this population that could be included in
355 prescribing information for safe and effective use at the time of approval.²⁴

356
357 In some instances, efficacy in adolescent patients may be extrapolated from adult data; however,
358 adequate approaches to evaluate safety in this population are required.²⁵

359
360 **4. *Tissue/histology agnostic development***

361
362 Tissue agnostic studies may facilitate the development of targeted therapies in multiple pediatric
363 cancers with shared genetic aberrations (e.g., MSI-H/dMMR tumors, NTRK-fusion positive
364 tumors) or may incorporate pediatric cohorts in adult studies which share genetic aberrations
365 with pediatric cancers.

366
367 **5. *Master protocols***

368
369 Master protocols, including basket and umbrella trials, may be appropriate mechanisms to assure
370 efficiency in light of the limited number of available patients for study and to minimize the
371 number of pediatric patients who may be exposed to ineffective therapies. Such master protocols
372 may require pre-competitive discussions, negotiations, and planning by multiple sponsors.²⁶
373 FDA encourages sponsors and investigators to consider this approach given the large number of
374 similar- and same-in-class products to avoid unnecessary competition and duplication.

375
376 **F. *Considerations for Planned Waivers and Deferrals***

377
378 There may be circumstances, including those listed below, when a waiver or deferral of pediatric
379 studies may be appropriate for a molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigation.²⁷

380
381 **1. *Deferrals***

- 382
- 383 • Deferral of a pediatric study may be appropriate until sufficient evidence of clinical
384 activity is observed in response to the known inhibition of a defined molecular
385 target(s) or pathway.
 - 386 • Deferral of a pediatric study may be appropriate when there is uncertainty regarding
387 the single agent activity of a drug until such time that one or more biologically
388 rational combinations demonstrates a clinical effect.
 - 389 • Deferral of a pediatric study may be appropriate until such time that an appropriate
390 pediatric formulation for investigational purposes is available, provided there has
391 been due diligence in formulation development.

²⁴ See the guidance for industry *Considerations for the Inclusion of Adolescent Patients in Adult Oncology Clinical Trials* (March 2019).

²⁵ See sections 505B(a)(2)(B) and 505B(a)(3)(B) of the FD&C Act.

²⁶ See draft guidance for industry *Master Protocols: Efficient Clinical Trial Design Strategies to Expedited Development of Oncology Drugs and Biologics* (September 2018). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

²⁷ See sections 505B(a)(3)(C), 505B(a)(4) and 505B(a)(5) of the FD&C Act.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437

2. *Waivers*

- A full or partial waiver (as appropriate) may be appropriate if known (e.g., from studies conducted in adult humans or animals) or strongly suspected (e.g., based on target biology) serious toxicity of a drug precludes its use in all or one or more pediatric age groups.
- Age group-specific waivers may be appropriate if there are known (e.g., from studies conducted in adult humans or animals) or strongly suspected (e.g., based on target biology) severe developmental toxicities which may present an unreasonable risk to pediatric patients of a particular maturational stage.
- Age group-specific waivers may be appropriate when a sponsor is not able to develop an appropriate pediatric formulation for an age group.
- A waiver may be appropriate for the third or later generation/same in class product (with identical mechanism of action) when ongoing competing studies in the pediatric population are being conducted and when there is no convincing evidence that the new drug provides a superior pharmacologic, toxicity, or activity profile to the same in class product(s) already studied or under investigation, potentially resulting in a very small number of patients available to participate in a new investigation.

IV. GLOBAL IMPLICATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION

FDA recognizes the global scope of drug development and strongly encourages all stakeholders to support internationally coordinated and collaborative approaches to development of drugs to treat cancers in pediatric patients. Due to the rarity of pediatric cancers, which are frequently being subdivided into even rarer subpopulations based on underlying molecular features, international collaboration is increasingly important for facilitating the development of new treatments. Furthermore, the number of investigational drugs of potential interest far exceeds the number of pediatric patients available to enroll in clinical trials. Therefore, global coordination is increasingly important for prioritizing drugs of interest in general and for specific cancers in pediatric patients, especially for drugs of the same class, for early pediatric evaluation. This will aid in preventing duplication of studies and competition for scarce patients and limit unnecessary exposure of pediatric patients to investigational drugs.

The following opportunities exist to facilitate coordinated, global approaches to pediatric development:

A. Pediatric Cluster Teleconferences

- Informal at least monthly teleconferences between the FDA and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), together with representatives from Health Canada, the Japanese Pharmaceutical and Medical Devices Agency, and the Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration coordinated by FDA's Office of Pediatric Therapeutics.
- Provide opportunities for high-level scientific discussion of issues relating to development of specific drug products. Relevant documents and information are

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

438 shared between participating regulatory agencies under the terms of existing
439 confidentiality agreements.

- 440 • Regulatory agencies may request that a particular topic be placed on the agenda for
441 discussion. Sponsors are informed of specific comments resulting from the
442 discussions and may receive details of the discussions after the teleconference.
- 443 • Sponsors also can submit a request to either the FDA or EMA that their drug product
444 be considered for discussion.

445

B. Common Commentary Process

446

- 448 • Established by FDA and the EMA to inform sponsors of the outcome of scientific
449 exchanges related to select drug products or topics discussed at Pediatric Cluster
450 teleconferences.
- 451 • Is intended to facilitate early sponsor interactions with the relevant agencies and
452 neither alter nor replace routine review procedures.
- 453 • Applies to drug products for which a pediatric development plan has been submitted
454 to both FDA and the EMA and is under review, preferably early in the regulatory
455 process.
- 456 • FDA has assumed primary responsibility for drafting a document that summarizes the
457 discussion and generally includes recommendations. After review and clearance by
458 both agencies, the Common Commentary document is shared with the sponsor. This
459 document is nonbinding, and it does not provide final regulatory decisions.

460

C. Formal Parallel Scientific Advice (PSA)

461

- 463 • Provides formal mechanism for provision of concurrent exchange of advice from
464 EMA assessors and FDA reviewers with sponsors on scientific issues to optimize
465 drug development.
- 466 • Information regarding the PSA procedure, including how to apply, is available.²⁸

467

468 Additionally, FDA encourages participation in international multi-stakeholder meetings
469 including the Pediatric Strategy Forums organized by the ACCELERATE Platform²⁹ which
470 bring sponsors, investigators, patient advocates, and regulators together to discuss development
471 strategies for specific pediatric cancers in the context of the number of investigational drugs
472 available for assessment and the highly variable unmet medical needs of distinct pediatric
473 populations with specific childhood cancers. We recommend stakeholders, including sponsors,
474 investigators, and patient advocates consider coordinating early multi-stakeholder input to
475 inform decision-making related to the initial pediatric clinical evaluation of appropriate

²⁸ GENERAL PRINCIPLES EMA-FDA PARALLEL SCIENTIFIC ADVICE (HUMAN MEDICINAL PRODUCTS)

<https://www.fda.gov/downloads/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/OfficeofGlobalRegulatoryOperationsandPolicy/OfficeofInternationalPrograms/UCM557100.pdf>.

²⁹ For additional information, see <https://www.accelerate-platform.eu/paediatric-strategy-forum/> (accessed October 8, 2019).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

476 investigational drugs to both avoid unnecessary duplication and provide a framework for a
477 longer-term development strategy of promising new drugs.